



Next-Generation Database Hardening and Threat Visibility Framework for Hybrid AWS-Azure Clouds with Wiz Analytics

Nareddy Abhireddy

Independent Researcher

nareddy.abhireddy.researcher@gmail.com

Abstract

Cloud services allow enterprises to establish hybrid, multi-cloud environments. Such proliferation increases complexity and the attack surface, leading to needed security-, privacy-, and accessibility-compliance controls. Existing tools underutilize cloud providers' compliance tools. Wiz automates asset inventory, vulnerability management, compliance drift detection, risk insights, and identity and access management. Cloud-integrated database hardening uses Wiz insights and guidance to automate hardening and compliance in heterogeneous clouds. Assets—data stores, storage accounts, and databases—proliferate in Azure and AWS, often within a single logical entity. A framework automates security posture, improving cloud-integrated database hardening in hybrid AWS–Azure environments. Data collection and telemetry follow Wiz-driven insights. Controls satisfy technical risks for hybrid asset-sharing scenarios. Attack surfaces and risks in heterogeneous AWS–Azure environments are specified and mitigated. Security controls are mapped to family and subfamily participants. Identity and access management splits responsibilities between Azure and AWS security flaws. Database service encryption meets Azure Secrets store and KMS risk categories.

The threat landscape of hybrid solutions is broader than that of single- or multi-cloud deployments. Data-exfiltration-database-leaking-risk scenarios for Azure are addressed by Wiz identity and access management recommendations and Microsoft and AWS database-service data-in-transit-and-at-rest-encryption controls. These recommendations automate security-compliance attestation. Azure data resource characteristics and Wiz approach are combined with risk categories for remaining clouds. A simple security-architecture pattern for control-expression mapping creates the required hardening.

Keywords : Cloud-integrated database security, Hybrid cloud security architecture, AWS–Azure hybrid environments, Database hardening framework, Cloud security posture management (CSPM), Automated security posture assessment, Wiz security insights, Multi-cloud risk visibility, Cloud-native database protection, Zero trust data security, Security posture automation, Misconfiguration detection in cloud databases, Compliance monitoring in hybrid clouds, Continuous security assurance, Cloud attack surface management, Identity and access management for databases, Infrastructure-as-code security validation, Threat modeling for hybrid databases, Cross-cloud governance and controls, DevSecOps-driven database security.

1. Introduction

Cloud services have grown to play a central role in enterprise and service delivery infrastructure. Hybrid solutions leveraging multiple vendors are increasingly deployed for practical reasons rather than for provisioning

resiliency. Azure and AWS provide services that natively interoperate; however, new security considerations arise when data is shared between them.

Recently, few cloud-native approaches have explicitly focused on compliance-driven security management of Azure and AWS hybrid environments. Wiz — a cloud

Cloud-agnostic services are one way to tackle this issue. Multiple cloud providers currently offer such solutions or skeleton services. Wiz, a cloud-native security platform that concentrates on resolving security-related issues and configuration errors, is one such system. Wiz's purpose-built organizational portal presents an overview of the entire AWS–Azure security posture, integrates comprehensive sensor-based coverage of cloud assets and services, and generates prioritized risk items based on Microsoft Attack Nader and Cloud Navigators to Cloud Risk Management Frameworks.

Equation 1: Derived equations (step-by-step) aligned to the paper

We can formalize this as a **weighted gap model**:

Step 1 — Define control families

Let there be n control families (examples consistent with the paper):

- IAM
- Network exposure/segmentation
- Data protection (encryption/DLP)
- Vulnerability & patch posture
- Logging/monitoring (SIEM)
- Compliance drift/config mgmt

Step 2 — Define a normalized “gap” per family

For each family i , define a gap score:

$$g_i \in [0,1]$$

- $g_i = 0$: fully hardened (no relevant gap)
- $g_i = 1$: worst gap level

Step 3 — Define importance weights

Assign weights $w_i \geq 0$ such that:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n w_i = 1$$

Step 4 — Compute total (weighted) residual risk

Define “residual risk” as the weighted sum of gaps:

$$R = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i g_i$$

Step 5 — Convert residual risk to a posture score

A simple posture score is the complement:

$$P = 1 - R = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^n w_i g_i$$

- $P \rightarrow 1$ means strong posture (few gaps)
- $P \rightarrow 0$ means weak posture

Step 6 — Show improvement via automation

If automation (Wiz insights + scripts) reduces gaps from $g_i^{(before)}$ to $g_i^{(after)}$, then:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta P &= P_{after} - P_{before} \\ &= \left(1 - \sum w_i g_i^{(after)}\right) - \left(1 - \sum w_i g_i^{(before)}\right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n w_i \left(g_i^{(before)} - g_i^{(after)}\right) \end{aligned}$$

So posture improves exactly in proportion to **weighted gap reduction**, matching the paper’s “detect → recommend → remediate” posture automation loop.

4. Architectural Overview

Databases are the cornerstones of online services. High availability, scalability, cost-effectiveness, performance, and responsiveness are primary engineering objectives for designing cloud-based databases. Databases are usually partitioned for low latency by retaining local replicas in hybrid cloud infrastructures. Cloud-integrated security solutions upgrade cloud security levels by deploying hybrid

The attack scenarios are generated by analyzing cloud security documentation—threat assessments, security best practices, and compliance framework mapping—and are adapted to the specific hybrid cloud database architecture. These provide a threat model that, when mapped to a risk assessment in the form of a risk scenarios and mitigation strategy table, improves the process of identifying cloud threats.

When integrating an on-premises environment with a cloud service provider, it is essential to consider the attack surfaces and the corresponding risk scenarios. Cloud providers recommend best practices to enhance the security posture of their cloud service. However, integrating two or more environments increases the attack surfaces. A hybrid cloud database integration across two mainstream cloud service providers—AWS and Azure—has been tested under compliance mapping for security.

6.1. Attack Surfaces in Hybrid Environments

Adopting a hybrid cloud strategy increases the elements to protect and enhances the complexity of the system's attack surface. Multi-service host configurations, high availability stream architectures, multi-cloud APIs, cloud DB with cloud VPN, and verification of integrated DB services in one of the clouds can be AWS attack levels. Attack surfaces are expected to grow further with the popularization of open-source cloud security platforms, such as Wiz. According to the vendor's 2022 Cloud Security Report, lack of protection against lateral cloud movement, assault on databases, and misconfiguration of identity and access management resources are the main attack surfaces found in a survey of cloud protection professionals. This trend also raises the influence of Wiz-driven data insight generations for cloud security posture remediation.

The recent past mapped natural risk scenarios for sweeping enterprise cloud-native DBs misconfigurations by the Wiz security platform. Building on these mapped risk scenarios prohibits seven of the OWASP Top 10 cloud prophecy weaknesses on the DBA. These scouting reports are defined in Templates and the specification details fully comply with the actual control topics' text. Since all-of-the-above

approaches are inspired by Wiz's filtered security intelligence, the next (ongoing) stage will instantiate the operator depicted in the overview above. This automaton will take Wiz's cloud geometry-screened alerts as inputs, recycle them with formal Datacom checking processes, and bootstrap the necessary actions on the owning platform."

Equation 2: Risk scoring per scenario (Likelihood × Impact), then mapped to controls

A standard formalization:

Step 1 — For each scenario j define:

- Likelihood $L_j \in [0,1]$
- Impact $I_j \in [0,1]$

Step 2 — Compute scenario risk

$$\text{Risk}_j = L_j \cdot I_j$$

Step 3 — Control coverage reduces likelihood and/or impact

If a control set C is applied with effectiveness $e_j \in [0,1]$, one simple model is:

$$L'_j = (1 - e_j) L_j$$

and then:

$$\text{Risk}'_j = L'_j \cdot I_j = (1 - e_j) L_j I_j$$

This fits the paper's idea that posture automation decreases attack paths and misconfig exposure by enforcing controls continuously.

6.2. Risk Scenarios and Mitigation Strategies

The previous sketch of success scenarios illustrates the potential surmountability of some significant risk areas in hybrid multi-cloud environments. However, there are also risk scenarios worthy of attention, one of which describes the continuation of multiple attack paths post-environment segmentation. Ensuring that threat actors cannot gain persistence requires attention to all control families, and default closing of Azure Network Security Groups for



Data protection is vital to regulatory compliance and maintaining the confidentiality and integrity of data processed, stored, and transmitted by cloud providers. The shared responsibility model calls for distinctive application of data protection controls based on data classification. Wiz offers a dashboard view of storage resources used by a customer for sensitive classification or PII data, highlighting those lacking data protection controls or encryption at rest or in transit. The Wiz console also provides a unified position on the protection status of the customer's bucket storage.

Equation 3: Hybrid attack surface index (why hybrid grows exposure)

Step 1 — Count exposed elements
Let;

- A = number of cloud assets (DBs, storage accounts, etc.)
- E = number of externally reachable endpoints
- X = number of cross-cloud integrations (replication links, IAM federation links, shared pipelines)

$$ASI = \alpha A + \beta E + \gamma X$$

Step 3 — Show why hybrid often increases X

Even if asset counts are similar, hybrid typically increases cross-cloud links X , raising ASI . That matches the paper's rationale for needing unified visibility and remediation across clouds.

Completing the scholarly article, consideration of Wiz capabilities in hybrid cloud database security posture favors formation and fulfillment of a simple data protection hardening operation cycle. Fully integrated cloud environments, however, offer continuously adaptable security postures significantly more advanced than isolated and/or hybrid cloud environments. In particular, security posture adaptation in Azure is a problem yet to be solved. Complementing Wiz with the securing capabilities of Zscaler might enable adaptation of the security posture in Azure. The integration of Wiz and Zscaler in Azure would permit the codification, into a higher level policy definition language, of the possible combined feed-forward fuzzing attacks for hybrid cloud configurations. Detecting potential measures against the fresh security breaches involving

